<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Madurawe (US 2005/0091630). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection, for the reasons already described in the Response to the Third Office Action. However, in order to achieve allowance of the application, Applicant has cancelled Claim 1, and has amended Claim 19 to include the limitations of Claims 20-23, which were deemed allowable. Therefore, this rejection is overcome.

Claims 2-18 and 20-23 are objected to as depending from a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if properly rewritten in independent form. Applicant has amended Claims 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, and 18 to include the limitations of Claim 1, from which they previously depended. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 11-12, and 15-16 depend from these amended claims, and therefore need not be amended. Therefore, this objection is overcome, and Claims 2-18 should now be in allowable format.

Applicant has cancelled Claims 20-23 as being redundant with newly amended Claim 19. Therefore, this objection is also overcome.

Please note that the amendments to the claims are not narrowing and are not made for reasons of patentability or avoiding the prior art, as the amendments merely place already allowable subject matter into independent claim format.

All claims should be now be in condition for allowance and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If any action other than allowance is contemplated by the Examiner, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone Applicant's agent, Lois D. Cartier, at 720-652-3733.

Respectfully submitted,

Lois D. Cartier Agent for Applicant

Reg. No. 40,941

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as **first class mail** in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 12, 2006.

Pat Tompkins

Name

Signature